

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,126	09/20/2006	Maria Pilar de Salazar Vinas	06127	4568	
23338 DENNISON S	7590 01/21/200 SCHULTZ & MACDOI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PATEL, Y	PATEL, YOGESH P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	.,		3732		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/21/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/599,126 DE SALAZAR VINAS, MARIA PILAR Office Action Summary

Office Action Gammary		Examiner	Art Unit					
		YOGESH PATEL	3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period fo	• •							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SIX (6) MCNTIS from the maining date of this communication. The state of the stat								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Oc	ctoher 2008						
		action is non-final.						
/—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
٧,۵	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
	Claim(s) 11-16 is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☑ Claim(s) 11-16 is/are rejected.							
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)ı	a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
and the allegated and the color of the colline copies her tocalled.								
Attachmen	t(e)							
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/599,126

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/20/08 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11-13, 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Casev (5.766.005).

Casey discloses a cap (e.g. protector) (fig. 9-10) having protecting element, a fixing means 35 integrally joined to and extending from the protective element and the protector is capable of isolating mucous membranes susceptible of being damaged inside the mouth. Further, the protective element is a cap with close end and internal reinforcement and the fixing means comprises an elastic ring (col. 3, lines 56-58).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,126

Art Unit: 3732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casey as applied to claim 11 and 13 above.

Casey discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the protective element (cap) is made of silicone gel. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Casey by selecting the material silicone gel (or resilient material) for the cap since the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll co. v. International Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/20/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cap of Casey has the purpose of housing a double twisted wire with the elastic ring serving as a guide for a wire. However, such language is considered intended use/functional. With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Casey which is capable of being used as claimed if one so

Application/Control Number: 10/599,126

Art Unit: 3732

desires to do so. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOGESH PATEL whose telephone number is (571)270-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cris Rodriguez can be reached on 571-272-4964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,126 Page 5

Art Unit: 3732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/YOGESH PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 3732

/Ralph A. Lewis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3732